May 20, 1975

of this thing that brought us here today to decide whether to vote for or against it. We started five or six years ago with all kinds of proposals, federal grants for studies, you know, the whole works that a lot of committees have gone through to decide which direction they're going to go. We reached the decisions, we passed bills, and the bills were vetoed and the programs failed. We have compromises, we've passed more bills, the bills are vetoed and they fail. Finally, we reach, supposedly the ultimate compromise which some of you may have saw on television. The compromise in which the Governor agreed. The compromise in which the penal reformatories agreed. The compromise in which Senator Luedtke agreed and finally, Senator Cavanaugh. The only question left up in the air at the time of this ultimate compromise was the final structure, positioning and how many units were going to be built. Apparently, that should have been defined a little more closely in that magical, ultimate compromise. But what it gets down to is that there is agreement that something needs to be done and it needs to be done now. The Judiciary Committee has apparently reached agreement that they prefer this particular plan which is based upon the recommendations coming out of all these committee studies. Do you remember, I originally supported Senator Luedtke because it was my understanding that that was the agreement with the Governor. I learned later that there was no agreement in the number of structures or where they were going to be located. I reminded the Legislative body that if they were going to vote for this plan, Plan B as it turned out to be, they had best be prepared to go for the veto override because the veto is coming and if they had any doubts, any doubts at all, they should be voting against this bill originally. They should not support it. Well now, here today, we are at the day of reckoning, if you voted for the bill originally and you back down now, you cannot say by any stretch of the imagination that you did not know his implications, that you did not understand the situation, that you did not know the costs, that you did not know what the committee wanted. If you voted before for the bill, you best be voting for it now because if you don't and if the veto fails, or if the veto is successful, we're going to be one more year behind and back in confusion. I think we've reached the end of the rope, we've got to pass the bill, we've got to get something going.

PRESIDENT: Senator Swigart.

SENATOR SWIGART: Mr. President and Senators, I'll be very brief. I don't quite agree with Senator DeCamp, that this is the only route to take. I believe there's another method and it's very quickly it could be handled and we'd build one instead of two. I certainly see merit in both the plans. I'm not opposed to either and I've talked to members on both sides and there certainly are some merits in both plans. I'm a little disturbed that no provision was made in the city of Omaha to even talk to their leading people, the mayor didn't know anything about it, the sheriff was not contacted about building an extra building there, and gosh, I think that's just common courtesy that you would talk